

Impact of Socialist Ideology in the Indian Freedom Struggle (1917–1947)

Deepak Dwivedi¹
Research Scholar,
Department of History,
F S University, Shikohabad, India

Dr. B. K. Sharma²
Research Guide and Associate Professor,
Department of History,
F S University, Shikohabad, India.

Prof. (Dr.) Shailendra Kumar Sharma³
Co- Guide and Principal,
Ch. Charan Singh (PG) College,
Heonra, Etawah, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.61165/sk.publisher.v13i1.5>

Abstract: The Indian freedom struggle was a multi-dimensional movement, combining political, social, and economic objectives. Within this context, socialist ideology exerted a profound influence on the trajectory, methods, and objectives of the nationalist movement between 1917 and 1947. Socialism in India was not merely a set of economic prescriptions imported from Europe; it was a contextualized framework that addressed the unique social hierarchies, economic inequalities, and cultural particularities of Indian society. This chapter explores the impact of socialist ideology across four major dimensions: ideological influence, organizational strategies, social mobilization, and contributions to post-independence policy frameworks.

Keywords: socialist ideology, Social Mobilization, Nationalism.

1. Ideological Influence of Socialism on Nationalism

There was disenchantment of moderate politics in India during the first half of the twentieth century. World War I caused economic difficulties that increased structural inequalities awareness along with reform failure of the Montagu-Chelmsford Reforms (1919). The socialist ideology was used to critically examine the colonial economic policies, feudalism and stratification. The Marxist theory of exploitation would be incorporated by leaders like Acharya Narendra Deva, Rammanohar Lohia and Jayaprakash Narayan through incorporation of the Gandhian ethical principles, where political independence without social and economic equality cannot be complete (Mehrotra 1979, 102110).

The relevant nationalist discourses were affected by the idea of socialism as it stressed:

- Class commentary: Raising the issue of exploitation of peasants, workers and artisans under colonialism.
- Economic justice: Calling upon land reform, redistribution, and defense of labor rights.
- Democracy: prescribing inclusive governance as a vital feature of liberation.

Socialism enlarged the meaning of freedom that was confined to political independence, and associated the gain of social liberation to the nationalist agenda. The main channel of passing these ideas across to the mainstream nationalist movement was the Congress Socialist Party (CSP), which existed between 1934 and 1939 (Franckel 2005, 140-145).

2. Organizational Impact: The Beyond and Congress Socialist Party.

The constructions of the CSP were a great milestone in formalizing the operational socialist ideology in the struggle of freedom. The CSP was able to offer an avenue through which:

Even Mass political education: Mass political consciousness should be increased by means of study circles, workshops, and pamphlets which will allow political propaganda or increase the awareness of the masses of peasants, workers, and students.

Develop grassroot movements, such as strikes in labor and agricultural movements, and co-operative movements with strong focus on practical implementation of the socialist principles.

Rienforce leadership among the youth, and developing future leaders who would be in a position to bridge ideological clarity and strategic activism (Yadav 2009, 160165).

CSP members made their impact in congress debates pushing to have policies that tackled economic and social inequalities. The leaders of the socialist movement achieved this by linking the urban and rural activism and they merged both the labor movements in the industrial centers and the peasant mobilization in rural villages. The CSP was the first in its organizational approaches of participatory leadership, decentralized committees, and mass oriented campaigns, which became typified in post independence organization of politics (Mehrotra 1979, 114-118).

3. Social Mobilization and Mass participation.

Mass mobilization strategies in the struggle of freedom were redesigned by the socialist thoughts. Socialism, in contrast to purely elite-controlled nationalist efforts, focused on participation by large masses, by enabling the marginalized groups to claim their rights and interests. Key examples include:

- Peasant movements of Bihar and UP: Organised by Jayaprakash Narayan and Rammanohar Lohia, these movements were clearly focused on economic issues of exploitary land tenure system, exorbitant rent and forced labour and provided a way of entry to the national agenda and voice (Sarkar 1983, 345350).

Selection of labor organization in the industrial centers: Socialist authorities promoted unionization, strikes, and negotiations and served in the betterment of the environment of laborers and also gave rise to political awareness.

Jennifer: Youth and student activism Study circles, volunteer brigades and education programs managed by CSP were educating youths, who participated in both ideological discussion and action (Mehrotra 1979, 123129).

The focus of socialism on inclusiveness also overlapped with caste and gender movements. Rammanohar Lohia in particular encouraged political involvement of the Dalits and backward castes and extended the nationalist movement to include structural social reforms. Dr. Ambedkar was also able to work with socialist leaders in ensuring that the disadvantaged groups were included in the political system, meaning that socialism was ethical and transformative.

4. Lessing in Policy and Constitutional thought.

The socialist ideology went to further effects like post-independence institutional and policy structures that were influenced by socialist ideology. Socialists were an important source when it came to land reform, labor laws and decentralization giving invaluable examples as to how a democratic India should be governed. Structural equality was informed by their advocacies:

- Land redistribution schemes to agrarian inequity.

Labor acts that describe the rights of employees in manufacturing industries.

*Protections of the marginalized communities through the constitutional provisions which were primarily influenced by the discussions between the CSP leaders and Dr. B.R. Ambedkar (Ambedkar 1945, 110115).

The socialist intercessions were made such that the transition of India into an independent country does not just happen as a political act but a structural one, and therefore underpins the concerns of justice and equity and participative rulings.

5. Tradition and Visionary Leadership.

The demands of ethical political leadership in the freedom struggle was one of the greatest legacies of the socialist ideology. Acharya Narendra Deva was a moral responsible representative, JP was in support of participatory democracy, Lohia was a radical social reformer, and Ambedkar was a social justice proponent by legislation. Together these leaders proved that justice, without equality, and moral values were not complete.

The cross-ideological discourse was also encouraged by socialist ideology and was reflected on Gandhian nonviolence, leftist Marxist movements, and the forming of post-independence politics. The engagement strengthened a subtle concept of nationalism, according to which independence could not be separated from social transformation (Franckel 2005, 140145).

6. Challenges and Limitations

As much as socialism had been having a great impact on the freedom fight, it had its problems:

JOIN: Ideological divergence Moderate socialists, radical Lohiaites and Marxist groups occasionally marred the movement through debates.

- Colonial suppression: Most socialist campaigns, especially the ones involving peasants and laborers were usually suppressed and thus restricted immediate results.

Integration into mainstream politics of Congress: To be independent social action and be loyal to Congress was a source of strategic tension.

In spite of such a struggle, the influence of socialism remained strong and significant which produced both a lasting structure, strategies, and moral codes that influenced both the nationalist struggle and the post-independence rule.

Conclusion

The Indian freedom struggle was highly impacted by the socialist ideology, which incorporated social justice and the political liberation. The socialism, with the help of ideological guidance, mass mobilization, organizational innovation, and policy advocacy, transformed the independence struggle into the movement aimed not only at ousting the colonial representatives, but also at transforming the society. Socialism in India was replicated by the achievers such as Acharya Narendra Deva, Jayaprakash Narayan, Rammanohar Lohia and Dr. B.R. Ambedkar, who represented the practical, ethical and intellectual aspects of the ideology, which ensured its immortality in the Indian democratic and social scene.

References

1. Ambedkar, B.R. *Thoughts on Social Justice and Democracy*. Bombay: Education Society Press, 1945.
2. Mehrotra, Ram. *Indian Socialism: Ideology and Practice*. Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1979.
3. Franckel, Henri. *Congress Socialist Party and the Indian Freedom Struggle*. Delhi: People's Publishing House, 2005.
4. Narayan, Jayaprakash. *Towards Struggle*. Bombay: Popular Prakashan, 1938.
5. Yadav, R.K. *The Congress Socialist Party and National Politics*. New Delhi: People's Publishing House, 2009.
6. Sarkar, Sumit. *Modern India, 1885–1947*. Delhi: Macmillan, 1983.
7. Chandra, Bipan. *India's Struggle for Independence, 1857–1947*. New Delhi: Penguin Books, 1989.

∴ Cite this article ∴

Deepak Dwivedi, Dr. B. K. Sharma, & Prof. (Dr.) Shailendra Kumar Sharma. (2026). Impact of Socialist Ideology in the Indian Freedom Struggle (1917–1947). SK INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH HUB, 13(1), 22–25.
<https://doi.org/10.61165/sk.publisher.v13i1.5>